

**BLOOM DATA APRICOT 2002**

\*D = bloom density rating (5 = very heavy, 4 = heavy, 3 = moderate, 2 = light, 1 = very light - none)

<u>Cultivar</u>	<b>D*</b>	<b>Apr 1</b>	<b>Apr 8</b>	<b>Apr 15</b>		
NY 604	4	50	full			
Westley	2-3	40	full			
Patterson	3	30	full			
Puget Gold	2	20	full			
Helene/Cit	3	20	full			
Deatrick	2	20	full			
HW 464	3/2					
HW 436/Cit	3	10	full			
HW 466/Cit	3	10	full			
Deatrick/Cit	3	10	full			
Harval	2					
HW 463	3/5					
NY 592	3					
Harglow	2	5	full			
Harglow/Cit	3		full			
Harogem	2	5	full			
HW 460	4					
Montrose	2	5	full			
Sunglo	2	10	80			
Sunglo/Cit	3	5	80			
Alfred/Cit	3-2	5	80			
HW 446	3/2					
NJA 53	2					
Fritz/Cit	3		80			

<b><u>Cultivar</u></b>	<b>D*</b>	<b>Apr 1</b>	<b>Apr 8</b>	<b>Apr 15</b>		
HW 441	3					
HW 462/Cit	3		80			
NJA 54	2					

NOTE - Young trees on Citation rootstock appeared to have a better bloom set than trees on other rootsotocks. Observe again in 2003.